General announcements

More Mínutía: Rotatíonal Inertía and the Moment of Inertía

There is a rotational counterpart for every *translational concept* and *parameter* out there. So what can we say about the *energy content* of a rotating disk?

Moved a distance r_i units from the center of a disk rotating with angular velocity ω and you will find the i^{th} mass m_i moving with *translational velocity* v_i . It's *kinetic energy* calculates as:

$$\mathrm{KE}_{\mathrm{i}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}} (\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}})^2$$

To get the total kinetic energy for the entire mass, this process has to be done for all the masses with the results summed, or.

$$KE = \sum KE_i = \sum \frac{1}{2}m_i (v_i)^2$$



Noting that $v_i = r_i \omega$, we can rewrite that summation yielding: $\mathbf{KE} = \sum_i \mathbf{KE}_i$ $= \sum_i \frac{1}{2} m_i (v_i)^2$ $= \sum_i \frac{1}{2} m_i (r_i \omega)^2$ $= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_i m_i r_i^2 \right) \omega^2$

Comparing this to $KE = \frac{1}{2}(m)v^2$ leaves us with $\frac{1}{2}$ and a velocity term squared, and a mass related term in parentheses.

This mass-related term is $I = \sum m_i r_i^2$. It is called *moment of inertia*. It is *always* defined relative to an axis and it is the rotational counterpart to mass . . . which is to say, it is a relative measure of a body's *resistance to changing its rotational motion*, or its *rotational inertia*.

Moment of inertia

The form $\sum (m_i r_i^2)$ is clearly meant for point masses. For example, imagine two equal masses at the end of a rod of length L.

1. Determine the moment of inertia about the central axis for the setup shown below. Assume the rod is massless and the masses equal in magnitude.



Moment of inertia

2. Determine the moment of inertia about an axis through one of the masses as shown. Assume the rod is massless and the masses equal in magnitude.



$$I_{end} = \sum m_i r_i^2 = m(0)^2 + m(L)^2 = mL^2$$

Moment of inertia

3.) Determine the moment of inertia about an axis a length L units to the left of the left mass. Again, assume the rod is massless.



$$I_{outside} = \sum m_i r_i^2 = m(L)^2 + m(2L)^2 = 5mL^2$$

Parting shot: The moment of inertia gets bigger and bigger as you get farther and farther away from the body's center of mass.

Example 2: Consider two masses m and 3m located a distance 1.0 meter apart. Relative to the coordinate axes shown:

a.) Determine the *moment of inertia* about the y-axis through the x-axis *center of mass*:

$$I_{y} = \sum m_{i} (x_{i})^{2}$$

= $m_{1} (x_{1})^{2} + m_{2} (x_{2})^{2}$
= $(3 \text{ kg})(-.25 \text{ m})^{2} + (1 \text{ kg})(.75 \text{ m})^{2}$
= .75 kg • m²

$$m_1 = 3kg$$

 $m_2 = 1kg$
 $m_2 = 1kg$
 $x_1 = -.25$
 $m_2 = .75$

b.) Determine the *moment of inertia* about the y-axis as shown:

$$I_{y} = \sum m_{i} (x_{i})^{2}$$

= $m_{1} (x_{1})^{2} + m_{2} (x_{2})^{2}$
= $(3 \text{ kg})(-.5 \text{ m})^{2} + (1 \text{ kg})(.5 \text{ m})^{2}$
= $1.0 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{2}$



Notice the *moment of inertia* about the *center of mass* is smaller than about the other axes denoted. This is always true. I_{cm} is always a minimum.

c.) Determine the *moment of inertia* about the x-axis:

So what is this approach asking you to do? It is asking that you begin at the axis of interest, proceed outward until you run into some mass, multiply the mass by the distance-out-quantity-squared, and sum



all those quantities up. For this problem, that will look like:

$$I_{y} = \sum m_{i} (y_{i})^{2}$$

= $(m_{1} + m_{2})(y_{1})^{2}$
= $(3 \text{ kg} + 1 \text{ kg})(2 \text{ m})^{2}$
= $16 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{2}$

Note: The closer a body is to an axis of rotation, the smaller its *moment of inertia* is about that axis.



Remínders about moment of ínertía

The moment of inertia is the rotational counterpart to mass.

- Mass is a measure of inertia: more mass means more resistance to acceleration.
- *For a rotation*, the *distribution of mass* about the axis of rotation is what matters in terms of resisting angular acceleration
 - An object has a given amount of mass--that doesn't change, but its *moment of inertia* may be different about different rotational axes (e.g. about one end vs. through center of mass)

When mass is farther from the axis of rotation, the moment of inertia increases.

- Solid disk vs a hoop with the same mass: which has greater I?

The hoop: the mass is concentrated farther out from the axis of rotation (through the center of the disk/hoop). (For your information, $I_{hoop} = MR^2$ whereas $I_{disk} = \frac{1}{2} MR^2$)

This means the disk will angularly accelerate more quickly for the same applied torque.

Thíngs To Know About I

Every object has a *moment of inertia* expression that allows you to determine its rotational inertia about a particular axis. A greater *moment of inertia* means it's harder to change the object's rotation motion (just like more mass means it's harder to accelerate an object).

These expressions can be derived using calculus. <u>This is not something you will</u> <u>be tested on</u>.

- You should know that the general form for moment of inertia for a point mass is mr² (where r is the distance between the axis of rotation and the location of the point mass)
- If there are multiple point masses, you just sum their individual I's.
- *For more complicated shapes*, you'll be given the I for that shape (or given everything else and asked to find it—see table on next slide).

If you know the moment of inertia about an axis thru the center of mass and need one about a parallel axis, use the Parallel Axis Theorem (three slides down):

$$I_{parallel} = I_{cm} + Md^2$$

The table from Fletch's book is shown to the right. There is a similar table in the Open Stax textbook for the class. Notice that the moment of inertia of a hoop (which is just a very short, thin cylinder) about its central axis is quote as:

 $I_{thincylinder} = MR^2$

just as we surmised. You will not be required to derive any of these quantities, but you will be expected to know what to do with them in a problem when they are provided.



Where does I come from for a dísk?

$$dm = (\frac{mass}{volume})(differential volume)$$

$$= \left(\frac{M}{\pi R^2 t}\right) \qquad (2\pi r t dr)$$
$$= \left(\frac{M}{R^2}\right) \qquad (2 r dr)$$
$$= \left(\frac{2M}{R^2}\right) \qquad (r dr)$$

Example of derivation of *moment of inertia* for a continuous mass--NOT something you will be tested on!

So the moment of inertia is:

 $I = \int r^{2} dm$ $= \int_{r=0}^{R} r^{2} \left[\frac{2M}{R^{2}} \right] r dr$ $= \frac{2M}{R^{2}} \int_{r=0}^{R} r^{3} dr$ $= \frac{2M}{R^{2}} \left(\frac{r^{4}}{4} \right) \Big|_{r=0}^{R}$ $= \frac{M}{2R^{2}} \left(R^{4} - 0 \right)$ $= \frac{1}{2} MR^{2}$



Disk of mass "m", radius "R" and thickness "t."

Parallel axís theorem

Sometimes, we know the moment of inertia about the center of mass, but we need to figure out what it is about a different rotational axis (e.g. around one end of a rod). To do this, we use the **parallel axis theorem**.

The Parallel Axís Theorem states that if you know the moment of inertia about the center of mass (I_{cm}) and want to know it about a different axis that is a distance *d* away:

 $I_{parallel} = I_{cm} + Md^2$

For example, the moment of inertia about the center of mass of a uniform rod of length L is $\frac{1}{12}ML^2$. The moment of inertia about one end is then: $I_{end} = \frac{1}{12}ML^2 + M\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{1}{3}ML^2$

Rotational N2L -- Full Form

Putting it all together, we now can say:

 $\sum \tau = I\alpha$

In words: the *angular acceleration* of an object *depends on* the *net torque* about the axis of rotation and the *moment of inertia* of that object about that same axis. Put differently, the net torque on a body about a particular point is proportional to the body's angular acceleration about that point, with the proportionality constant being the moment of inertia about that point.

Technically, torque and angular acceleration are vectors, so a direction is required. However, for all our problems, the plane of rotation will be in the page, so the unit vector will be assumed to be \hat{k} . We won't need to write that for these problems, but we <u>will</u> need to keep track of positive and negative signs to indicate the direction of rotation.

 N_2L/I example (8.32)

For the system shown here, what torque around point O is required to produce an angular acceleration of 1.50 rad/sec/sec about:

- A) the x axis
- B) the y axis
- C) the z axis (out of the page)



8.32 continued

We want to determine τ using $\tau = I\alpha$, which means we need to find I for a rotation about the x axis. There are four point masses, so we need to sum their individual moments of inertia. Their distances (d) from the axis of rotation are therefore their <u>y coordinates</u>:

$$I_x = \sum m_i y_i^2$$

=(2 kg)(3 m)² + (2 kg)(3 m)² + (3 kg)(3 m)² + (4 kg)(3 m)²
= 99 kg • m²

Now, using N2L:

$$\tau = I_x \alpha = (99 \ kg \cdot m^2) \left(1.50 \frac{rad}{s^2} \right) = 149 \ Nm$$



8.32 continued

For a rotation about the y axis, we do the same thing, but now we use each point's <u>x coordinate</u> as their distances from the axis of rotation:

$$I_{y} = \sum m_{i} x_{i}^{2}$$

=(2 kg)(2 m)² + (2 kg)(2 m)² + (3 kg)(2 m)² + (4 kg)(2 m)²
= 44 kg • m²



Now, using N2L:

$$\tau = I_y \alpha = (44 \ kg \cdot m^2) \left(1.50 \ \frac{rad}{s^2}\right) = 66 \ Nm$$

8.32 continued

For a rotation about the z axis, we do the same thing, but finding each mass's distance from the z axis (out of the page) requires finding the distance to O. Back to our trusty friend Pythagoras...





So for the z axis:

$$I_x = \sum m_i r^2$$

=(2 kg)($\sqrt{13}$ m)² + (2 kg)($\sqrt{13}$ m)² + (3 kg)($\sqrt{13}$ m)² + (4 kg)($\sqrt{13}$ m)²
= 143 kg • m²

And finally...

$$\tau = I_z \alpha = (143 \ kg \cdot m^2) \left(1.50 \frac{rad}{s^2} \right) = 215 \ Nm$$

18.)

Our lab problem - with a twist! (literally..)

You've done a problem in which the tension keeps the rigid body stationary (your lab!). What is the angular acceleration of the beam if the rope is cut, and what is the translational acceleration of a point at the end of the beam?? You may assume the moment of inertia about the beam's *center of mass* is $\binom{1}{12}$ ML² (we are leaving off the hanging mass to simplify this)



FBD and concepts

Last time, all the torques were balanced as the tension force held the beam steady. Now, when the rope is cut, the beam will start to fall down and rotate clockwise.

 \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{V} are once again through the axis of rotation, so they do not produce a torque. We have two forces that do, so let's sum the torques *about the pin* (this will eliminate our need to deal with H and V). Using the moment-arm approach:



$$\sum \tau_{\text{pin}} : \\ \pm r_{\perp} \quad F = \pm I_{\text{pin}} \alpha \\ -\left(\frac{L}{2}\cos\theta\right) (\text{mg}) = -I_{\text{pin}} \alpha$$

To find the angular acceleration, we need the moment of inertia about the pin. Unfortunately, what we have is I about the beam's *center of mass*. To get what we need, we will use what is called the Parallel Axis Theorem:

The Parallel Axis Theorem states that if you want the moment of inertia about an axis PARALLEL to an axis through the *center of mass* that you know the moment of inertia for, you can get the new moment of inertia by taking the *center of mass moment of inertia* and adding a fudge factor equal to the object's mass times the distance D between the two parallel axes squared, or: Axis thru

$$I_{sec ondary} = I_{c.of m.} + Md^2$$

Remember that "d" in this equation is the distance between the *axis through the center of mass* and the *axis through the point you are interested in*. In the case of our ladder problem, the distance between the ladder's *center of mass* and the pin is "L/2," so in this case, that is "d."



For our ladder problem, remembering that its moment of inertia about its center of mass is given, we can write:

$$I_{end} = I_{cm} + M d^{2}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{12}ML^{2}\right) + M\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{3}ML^{2}$$

and our Newton's Law expression becomes:

$$\sum \tau_{\text{pin}} : -\left(\frac{L}{2}\cos\theta\right)(\text{mg}) = -I_{\text{pin}}\alpha$$
$$-\left(\frac{L}{2}\cos\theta\right)(\text{mg}) = -\left(\frac{1}{3}\text{mL}^{2}\right)\alpha$$
$$\Rightarrow \alpha = \left(\frac{3}{2L}\cos\theta\right)(\text{g})$$

22.)

As for the translational acceleration of a point on the beam, we an use:

 $a = r\alpha$

We want the translational acceleration of a point all the way down the beam at its end. Remembering that the acceleration relationship stated above measures "r" from a "fixed point," (which in this case would be the pin), r = L for our situation and we can write:

$$a = r\alpha$$

= $L\alpha$
= $L\left(\frac{3}{2L}\cos\theta\right)(g)$
= $\frac{3g}{2}\cos\theta$

In summary: Use N.S.L., rotational style (sum of the torques, etc.); calculate the right moment of inertia using the parallel axis theorem if needed; use $a = r\alpha$ if required.

Ball rolling down an incline

Determine the acceleration of the ball as it rolls down the incline. Assume you know the incline's angle, the ball's mass and radius, and assume its moment of inertia about its central axis is $I = (\frac{2}{5})mR^2$

How does a ball roll? Something must be required to allow it to rotate about the point of contact – that means keeping the point of contact motionless as the ball rotates around it. What could do that?

Ball rolling down an incline

For the acceleration of the center of mass, the translational version of N.S.L:

 $\sum F_x$:

 $-mg\sin\theta + f = -ma$

For the angular acceleration about the center of mass, the rotational version of N.S.L:



$$(f)(R) = I_{cm} \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow (f)(R) = \left(\frac{2mR^2}{5}\right) \left(\frac{a}{R}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow (f) = \left(\frac{2m}{5}\right) (a)$$



Combining, we get:

$$-\operatorname{mg} \sin\theta + f = -\operatorname{ma}$$

$$\Rightarrow -\operatorname{mg} \sin\theta + \frac{2}{5}\operatorname{ma} = -\operatorname{ma}$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{mg} \sin\theta = \frac{7}{5}\operatorname{ma}$$

$$\Rightarrow a = \frac{5}{7}\operatorname{g} \sin\theta$$

But wait! There's another way! What if we found the angular acceleration about the contact point?

If we were to sum the torques about the contact point (which is, instantaneously, a fixed point), we would get (see next page for justification):

$$\sum \Gamma_{p(\text{contact point})}:$$

$$(mg)(R \sin \theta) = I_p \alpha$$

Alternate Balling rolling Problem

From previous page: $\sum \Gamma_{p(\text{contact point})}$:

 $(mg)(R \sin\theta) = I_p \alpha$

To finish this off, we need to know the moment of inertia about the contact point "p" and the relationship between the acceleration of the center of mass and the angular acceleration of the ball about the contact point.

Using the Parallel Axis Theorem, we can write:

$$I_{p} = I_{cm} + md^{2}$$
$$= \frac{2}{5}mR^{2} + mR^{2}$$
$$= \frac{7}{5}mR^{2}$$



With the moment of inertia from the parallel axis theorem and the known relationship between the angular acceleration and the acceleration of the center of mass, we can write:

 Σau_p :

$$(mg)(R \sin\theta) = I_{p} \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow (mg)(R \sin\theta) = \left(\frac{7}{5}mR^{2}\right) \left(\frac{a}{R}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow a = \frac{5}{7}gsin\theta$$

We get the exact same answer, which makes sense as no matter what the axis of rotation, the angular acceleration of the object about itself should be the same.

The moral of the story is that in almost all of these problems, you can attack from the perspective of the center of mass or from a fixed point, pure rotation perspective.

Atwood machine problem

 \mathcal{A} mass m_1 is attached to a rope that is threaded over a massive pulley and attached to a second mass m_2 . If the pulley's mass is "M," its radius "R" and its moment of inertia about its center of mass is 0.5MR², determine both the angular acceleration of the pulley and the acceleration of each of the masses.

To start: think about what assumptions we made in the past in order to do this problem. Why did we do that? Can we still make those assumptions now?



To get a feel for the intricacies of this problem, let's do it first on the assumption the the pulley is NOT massive. In that case, Newton's Second Law applied to each mass and we can write:



$$\sum F_{1,y}$$
$$T - m_1 g = m_1 a$$
$$\Rightarrow T = m_1 g + m_1 a$$





From the previous page, $T = m_1g + m_1a$ so we can write:

$$(T) - m_2 g = -m_2 a$$

$$\Rightarrow (m_1 g + m_1 a) - m_2 g = -m_2 a$$

$$\Rightarrow a = \frac{m_2 - m_1}{m_1 + m_2} g$$



Notice that we are able to assume the tension on both sides of the pulley is the same. This is the consequence of the fact that the pulley is assumed to be *massless*.

If that hadn't been the case, a net torque would have been required to make the pulley rotate. That could only come if the tension forces on either side of the pulley were imbalanced. Now let's look at the situation assuming the pulley is massive. In that case, the only difference is that the tensions are different on either side of the pulley (this has to be so so the torque sum about the pulley's center of mass is not zero). Writing, we get:

So:

f.b.d. on m_1 :

 T_1

 m_1g







At this point, we have three unknowns, the two tensions and the acceleration "a." We need another equation. ENTER SUMMING THE TORQUES ABOUT THE PULLEY'S CENTER OF MASS.



At this point, we have FOUR unknowns, the two tensions, the acceleration "a" and the angular acceleration α . Once again, we need another equation. That relationship connects the angular acceleration about the pulley's center of mass to the translational acceleration of a point on the pulley's edge (this will be the same as the translational acceleration of the string and, hence, the masses). In other words, we need:

$$a_{cm} = R\alpha$$

We now have four equations:

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma_{\!\!1} - m_1 g = m_1 a & T_2 - m_2 g = -m_2 a \\ & \Rightarrow & T_1 = m_1 g + m_1 a \quad \text{Equ.A} & T_2 = m_2 g - m_2 a \quad \text{Equ. B} \\ \hline & T_1 R - T_2 R = -I_{cm} \alpha \quad \text{Equ. C} & a_{cm} = R \alpha \quad \text{Equ. D} \end{split}$$

Substituting Equ. A, B and D into C, we get:

$$T_{1} \quad R - T_{2} \quad R = -I_{cm} \quad \alpha$$

$$\left(m_{1}g + m_{1}a\right)R - \left(m_{2}g - m_{2}a\right)R = -\left(\frac{1}{2}MR^{2}\right)\left(\frac{a}{R}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad a = \frac{m_{2}g - m_{1}g}{\left(m_{1} + m_{1} + \frac{M}{22}\right)}$$

Note that with the exception of the presence of the "M" term, this is exactly the same relationship you got with the massless pulley analysis. 35.)

General Information

- **Quiz 3** on rotational N2L and moment of inertia is on the **xxx**. On it, you should be able to:
 - Draw/label FBD for a rotating object
 - Determine torque (using any method) about axis of rotation and sum torques if needed (though you won't be required to use one approach over another, so get good with one approach and be prepared to use it!)
 - Use N2L rotationally to solve for angular acceleration ($\Sigma \tau = I\alpha$)
 - If need be, use N2L translationally to solve for acceleration ($\sum F = ma$)
 - Know how to relate angular acceleration to translational acceleration
 - Know how to find the *moment of inertia* for a point mass (mr²), or given the moment of inertia for another object, use *parallel axis theorem*
 - Basically, be able to solve a problem like one of the three we've done in class (ball rolling down incline, rotating beam, Atwood machine). You'll see one of those three.